J.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,996	01/30/2004	Suresh C. Srivastava		4523
7590 SURESH C. SRIVASTAVA, PH.D. CHEMGEMES CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
			FETTEROLF, BRANDON J	
33 INDUSTRI WILMINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1642	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/768,996	SRIVASTAVA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brandon J. Fetterolf, PhD	1642			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commulation. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuse. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply we have received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprication. atory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ill, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Note: The state of the communication of the			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>29 <i>July 2007.</i></u>				
<u> </u>	,—				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to b ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand he correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap f the priority documents have been r al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	immary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	~ · · · · /	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The Election filed on 3/03/2007 in response to the Restriction Requirement of 7/29/2005 has been entered. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-37, as specifically drawn to an oligonucleotide for preferentially killing cancer cells over non-cancerous cells comprising at least two CpG moieties and a prodrug for an antimetabolite covalently linked to the oligonucleotide has been acknowledged. Upon further review and consideration, the Examiner has found that Claims 1 and 23 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: nucleotide sequences comprising the following SEQ ID NO:s 7, 8, 9 and 10. The species are independent or distinct because each of the recited nucleic acid sequences have no substantial structural similarities. Furthermore, there are approximately eight different databases that accompany the results of a search of one discrete amino acid or nucleotide sequence and each result set from a particular database must be carefully considered. Hence, the search of nucleic acid sequences, and different nucleic acid segments in the databases would require extensive searching and review.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1642

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon J. Fetterolf, PhD whose telephone number is (571)-272-2919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shanon Foley can be reached on 571-272-0898. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brandon J Fetterolf, PhD

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1642
Stanton 1619